# **Kansas Administrative Regulations Economic Impact Statement (EIS)**

Kansas D Agency	epartment o	of Revenue	Taylor Murray Agency Contact	Co	785-296-6093 ontact Phone Number
92-20-1.	92-20-2a, 9	2-20-5, 92-20-6, 92-20-8, 92-20	)-9, 92-20-12,		
and 92-20 K.A.R. Num	)- <u>14</u>			⊠ Permanent	☐ Temporary
	-	oposed rule(s) and regulation(s) r implementing a federally subs	<u> </u>	_	as a requirement
□ Yes	in the revi	tinue to fill out the remaining for lew process to the Department is not required; however, the Div at the end of the review process	of Administration and vision of the Budget	nd the Attorney (	General. Budget
⊠ No	regulation million or incurred b of the prop	If no, do the total annual implementation and compliance costs for the proposed rule(s) and regulation(s), calculated from the effective date of the rule(s) and regulation(s), exceed \$1.0 million or more in implementation and compliance costs that are reasonably expected to be incurred by or passed along to businesses, local governmental units and individuals as a result of the proposed rule and regulation over the initial five-year period following adoption of such rule(s) and regulation(s) (as calculated in Section III, F)?			
	□ Yes	If "Yes," then the agency shall and regulation(s) has been ratirule(s) and regulation(s) are: 1) for participating in or implem described in K.S.A. 77-416(b) and regulation(s) adopted pursules and regulations adopted Remediation Board). Continue the regulation packet in the result the Attorney General. The standard properties of the Budget for approximation of the Budget for approximation and regulation of the Budget for approximation of the Budget for approximation and regulation of the Budget for approximation and regulation of the Budget for approximation and regulation and regulation and regulation and regulation and regulation and regulation are regulation and regulation and regulation and regulation are regulation and regulation and regulation and regulation and regulation are regulation and regulation and regulation and regulation are regulation and regulation and regulation and regulation and regulation are regulation and regulation and regulation are regulation and regulat	fied by the Legislatur mandated by the fed tenting a federally su (1)(B), and amendment to K.S.A. 77-72 d pursuant to K.S.A to fill out the remain view process to the D ubmitted EIS will be	re with a bill, unlederal government absidized or assistents thereto; 2) to 2, and amendment A. 2-3710 (Kansming EIS form to be department of Additional EIS and Additional EIS form to be department of Additional EIS form to be department.	ess the proposed as a requirement ted program, as emporary rule(s) ats thereto; or 3) as Agricultural be included with ministration and
	⊠ No	If no, continue to fill out the packet submitted in the review Attorney General. The submit for approval.	w process to the Depa	artment of Admin	istration and the
DOB APPROVA	L STAMP				

### Section I

Analysis, brief description, and cost and benefit quantification of the proposed rule(s) and regulation(s). If the approach chosen by the Kansas agency to address the policy issue is different from that utilized by agencies of contiguous states or of the federal government, the economic impact statement shall include an explanation of why the Kansas agency's rule and regulation differs.

The Department proposes revoking eight use tax regulations.

- The effective portions of K.A.R. 92-20-1, 92-20-2a, 92-20-5, 92-20-6, 92-20-12, and 92-20-14 merely duplicate existing statute. Where these regulations do not duplicate statue, the additional material is not necessary and/or is out of date.
- K.A.R. 92-20-8 contains rules for "delivery" that have been eclipsed by the Streamlined Sales and Use Tax Agreement and the associated legislative changes.
- K.A.R. 92-20-9, which outlines a retailer's application for a certificate of use tax registration, is not up to date with the current application requirements. The Department of Revenue has other, more up to date authority to require pertinent information from applicants. The actual registration process generally mirrors the registration process for collecting Retailers' Sales Tax.

Revoking these regulations will remove unnecessary or redundant regulations. Clear and concise regulations benefit Kansas taxpayers.

### Section II

Explain whether the proposed rule and regulation is mandated by federal law as a requirement for participating in or implementing a federally subsidized or assisted program and whether the proposed rules and regulations exceed the requirements of applicable federal law.

These regulations are not mandated by federal law.

## **Section III**

Agency analysis specifically addressing the following:

A. The extent to which the rule(s) and regulation(s) will enhance or restrict business activities and growth;

No effect on business activities and growth based on these revocations.

B. The economic effect, including a detailed quantification of implementation and compliance costs, on the specific businesses, sectors, public utility ratepayers, individuals, and local governments that will be affected by the proposed rule(s) and regulation(s) and on the state economy as a whole;

No economic effect based on these revocations.

C. Businesses that would be directly affected by the proposed rule(s) and regulation(s);

No businesses will be directly affected by revoking these regulations.

DOB APPROVAL STAMP

D. Benefits of the proposed rule(s) and regulation(s) compared to the costs;

Revoking these regulations will benefit taxpayers by making the Department of Revenue's regulations clearer and more concise. There is no anticipated cost to taxpayers.

E. Measures taken by the agency to minimize the cost and impact of the proposed rule(s) and regulation(s) on business and economic development within the State of Kansas, local government, and individuals:

Because revoking these regulations is estimated to have \$0 annual cost, no measures were taken to minimize the cost and impact on businesses and economic development, local government, and individuals.

F. An estimate of the total annual implementation and compliance costs that are reasonably expected to be incurred by or passed along to businesses, local governments, or individuals. *Note: Do not account for any actual or estimated cost savings that may be realized. Implementation and compliance costs determined shall be those additional costs reasonably expected to be incurred and shall be separately identified for the affected businesses, local governmental units, and individuals.* 

Costs to Affected Businesses – \$0.00

Costs to Local Governmental Units – \$0.00

Costs to Individuals - \$0.00

**Total Annual Costs – \$0.00** 

(sum of above amounts)

Give a detailed statement of the data and methodology used in estimating the above cost estimate.

Revoking these regulations has no anticipated economic effect. In the Department's opinion, the content of these regulations either merely duplicates existing statute or is no longer necessary to effectively administer state law.

If the total implementation and compliance costs exceed \$1.0 million or more in
implementation and compliance costs over the initial five-year period following
adoption of such rule(s) and regulation(s) that are reasonably expected to be incurred
by or passed along to businesses, local governmental units and individuals as a result
of the proposed rule and regulation, did the agency hold a public hearing to find that
the estimated costs have been accurately determined and are necessary for achieving
legislative intent? If applicable, document when the public hearing was held, those in
attendance, and any pertinent information from the hearing.
N/A

Provide an estimate to any changes in aggregate state revenues and expenditures for the implementation of the proposed rule(s) and regulation(s), for both the current fiscal year and next fiscal year.

DOB A	PPROV	AL ST	AMP	

Revoking these regulations is estimated to result in no changes to aggregate state revenues or expenditures for both the current fiscal year and next fiscal year.

Provide an estimate of any immediate or long-range economic impact of the proposed rule(s) and regulation(s) on any individual(s), small employers, and the general public. If no dollar estimate can be given for any individual(s), small employers, and the general public, give specific reasons why no estimate is possible.

Revoking these regulations will have no economic impact on any individuals, small employers, or the general public.

G. If the proposed rule(s) and regulation(s) increases or decreases revenues of cities, counties or school districts, or imposes functions or responsibilities on cities, counties or school districts that will increase expenditures or fiscal liability, describe how the state agency consulted with the League of Kansas Municipalities, Kansas Association of Counties, and/or the Kansas Association of School Boards.

> Because revoking these regulations will result in no changes to revenues of cities, counties or school districts and impose no additional functions or responsibilities on cities, counties or school districts, the Department of Revenue did not consult with the League of Kansas Municipalities, Kansas Association of Counties, and/or the Kansas Association of School Boards.

Η. Describe how the agency consulted and solicited information from businesses, business associations, local governmental units, state agencies, or institutions and members of the public that may be affected by the proposed rule(s) and regulation(s) or may provide relevant information.

> Because revoking these regulations is estimated to have \$0 annual cost, no businesses, business associations, local governmental units, state agencies, institutions, or members of the public were consulted.

DOB APPROVAL STAMP

Sectio	on IV
Does t	he Economic Impact Statement involve any environmental rule(s) and regulation(s)?
□ Yes	
A.	Describe the capital and annual costs of compliance with the proposed rule(s) and regulation(s), and the individuals or entities who would bear the costs.
	N/A
В.	Describe the initial and annual costs of implementing and enforcing the proposed rule(s) and regulation(s), including the estimated amount of paperwork, and the state agencies, other governmental agencies, or other individuals who will bear the costs.
	N/A

C.	Describe the costs that would likely accrue if the proposed rule(s) and regulation(s) are not adopted, the individuals or entities who will bear the costs and who will be affected by the failure to adopt the rule(s) and regulation(s).
	N/A
D.	Provide a detailed statement of the data and methodology used in estimating the costs used.
	N/A
DOB API	PROVAL STAMP